

Factors contributing to serious and fatal injuries in belted rear-seat occupants in frontal crashes

April 2019

Jessica S. Jermakian Marcy A. Edwards Insurance Institute for Highway Safety

Seth Fein Matthew R. Maltese The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia University of Pennsylvania

> 1005 N. Glebe Road, Suite 800 Arlington, VA 22201 +1 703 247 1500

iihs.org

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Earlier research has shown that the rear row is safer for occupants in crashes than the front row, but there is evidence that improvements in front-seat occupant protection in more recent vehicle model years have reduced the safety advantage of the rear seat versus the front seat. The study objective was to identify factors that contribute to serious and fatal injuries in belted rear-seat occupants in frontal crashes in newer model year vehicles.

Methods: A case series review of belted rear-seat occupants who were seriously injured or killed in frontal crashes was conducted. Occupants in frontal crashes were eligible for inclusion if they were 6 years old or older and belted in the rear of a 2000 or newer model year passenger vehicle within 10 model years of the crash year. Crashes were identified using the 2004–2015 National Automotive Sampling System Crashworthiness Data System (NASS CDS) and included all eligible occupants with at least one AIS 3 or greater injury. Using these same inclusion criteria but split into younger (6 to 12 years) and older (55+ years) cohorts, fatal crashes were identified in the 2014–2015 Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) then local police jurisdictions were contacted for complete crash records.

Results: Detailed case series review was completed for 117 rear-seat occupants: 36 with MAIS 3+ injuries in NASS CDS and 81 fatalities identified in FARS. More than half of the injured and killed rear occupants were more severely injured than front occupants in the same crash. Serious chest injury, primarily caused by seat belt loading, was present in 22 of the injured occupants and 17 of the 37 fatalities with documented injuries. Nine injured occupants and 18 fatalities sustained serious head injury, primarily from contact with the vehicle interior or severe intrusion. For fatal cases, 12 crashes were considered unsurvivable due to a complete loss of occupant space. For cases considered survivable, intrusion was not a large contributor to fatality.

Discussion: Rear-seat occupants sustained serious and fatal injuries due to belt loading in crashes in which front-seat occupants survived, suggesting a discrepancy in restraint performance between the front and rear rows. Restraint strategies that reduce loading to the chest should be considered, but there may be potential tradeoffs with increased head excursion, particularly in the absence of rear-seat airbags. Any new restraint designs should consider the unique needs of the rear-seat environment.

INTRODUCTION

Passenger vehicle deaths in the United States have declined dramatically over the last few decades (Insurance Institute for Highway Safety [IIHS] 2016) and much of the recent improvements can be attributed to vehicle design changes primarily driven by regulatory and consumer information test programs (Farmer and Lund 2015). Despite vehicle design improvements, more than 23,000 passenger vehicle occupants died in 2016 in the U.S., 54% of which were in frontal impacts (IIHS 2016), suggesting that there is still an opportunity for improved protection in this crash mode. IIHS and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) evaluate vehicles in an array of frontal crash configurations including full-width, moderate, and small overlap. Each of these tests evaluate protection afforded to front-seat occupants without consideration for the injury assessment of rear-seat occupants.

In the United States in 2016, nearly 2,000 occupants were killed in the rear seat of passenger vehicles, accounting for 8% of all passenger vehicle occupant deaths that year (IIHS 2016). Earlier research has shown that the rear row is safer for occupants than the front row (Braver et al. 1998; Durbin et. al. 2005), but there is evidence that improvements in front-seat occupant protection in more recent vehicles have reduced the safety advantage of the rear seat versus the front seat (Bilston et al. 2010; Durbin et. al. 2015; Kuppa et al. 2005; Sahraei et al. 2010; Winston et. al. 2007). In a recent study that compared the risk of death in the rear seat with passengers in the front seat, restrained rear-seat occupants were at a 46% higher risk of death compared with front-row passengers in model year 2007 and newer vehicles (Durbin et. al. 2015). In the same study, children ages 9 to 12 years had an elevated risk of death in the rear compared with the front (Risk Ratio [RR] 1.83, 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 1.18–2.84) and there was some evidence that adults ages 55 years and older may be at elevated risk, but the authors could not exclude the possibility of no difference (RR 1.41, 95% CI 0.94–2.13). In frontal crashes, after adjusting for occupant age, impact direction, and other factors, there was no elevated risk of death for rear vs. front-row occupants (RR 0.96, 95% CI 0.75–1.23), but frontal impacts accounted for the largest proportion (34%) of fatalities in restrained rear-seat occupants.

Several studies have looked at injury patterns for child and adult rear-seat occupants in frontal crashes. In studies of frontal tow-away crashes that occurred between 1991 and 2003, the chest was the most frequently AIS 3+- injured body region for restrained adults (Kuppa et al. 2005; Parenteau and Viano, 2003), while the most common AIS 3+ injuries for restrained children were to the upper extremity followed by the head for children ages 6 to 8 years and the chest followed by the head for children ages 9 to 15 years (Kuppa et al. 2005). A trauma center-based study of 29 restrained rear-seat occupants ages 9 years and older found that the chest followed by the abdomen were the most commonly injured body regions and were generally attributed to interaction with the vehicle belt (Beck et al. 2016). The vehicle model year in the study ranged from 1989 to 2010 with an average model year of 1998.

The current study sought to identify factors that contribute to serious and fatal injuries in restrained rearseat occupants in frontal crashes in newer model year vehicles. Review of seriously injured occupants focused on occupants ages 6 years or older who were restrained using the vehicle seat belts, with or without a booster seat. Review of fatally injured occupants focused on two vulnerable populations: children ages 6 to 12 years and adults ages 55 or older.

METHODS

A case series review of belted rear-seat occupants who were seriously injured or killed in frontal crashes was conducted. Occupants were eligible for inclusion if they were ages 6 years or older using the vehicle seat belt with or without a booster seat in the 2nd or 3rd row of a passenger vehicle and involved in a frontal crash. Passenger vehicles were included if they were model year 2000 or newer and no older than 10 years at the time of the crash. For this study, a *crash* is defined as an impact between the occupant's vehicle and a crash partner, and a *case* represents a single occupant of interest.

Crashes were identified using two data sources: the 2004–2015 National Automotive Sampling System Crashworthiness Data System (NASS CDS) and the 2014–2015 Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS). For cases identified in FARS, local police jurisdictions were contacted for complete crash records. Due to this resourceintensive process, FARS cases focused on two vulnerable populations identified in Durbin, et. al. (2015): beltrestrained children and older occupants. Cases from NASS CDS included all belted occupants ages 6 years and older to provide additional insight into serious injuries in occupants ages 13 to 54 years.

Injury and Fatal Crash Identification in the National Automotive Sampling System Crashworthiness Data System

Injury and fatal crashes were identified using the 2005–2015 NASS CDS and included all eligible occupants with AIS 3 or greater injuries. At the time of the study, 2015 NASS CDS data was the most recent complete year of data available due to NHTSA's transition to a new crash investigation data system, the Crash Investigation Sampling System (CISS). Occupants who sustained fatal injuries were included. Frontal impacts were defined based on the highest severity general area of damage (GAD1=F), and cases were excluded if there were no pictures or full vehicle inspection of the case vehicle.

For each case, pictures, narratives, contact points, and other investigation data were reviewed to determine the probable injury causation scenario for each AIS 3+ injury. Maximum injury severity of the rear-seat occupant was also compared with the maximum injury severity of the front-seat occupants in the same crash. All results are reported as unweighted data.

Fatal Crash Identification in the Fatality Analysis Reporting System and Data Collection

Fatal crashes were identified using the 2014–2015 Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS), the most recent FARS data available at the time the study began. A fatal crash was defined as a collision of one or more vehicles in which an occupant ages 6 to 12 years or 55 years and older died within 30 days of the crash. Occupants were excluded when the cause of death was not a direct result of the impact (e.g. drowning from lack of an ability to swim). Then, police jurisdictions associated with each crash were contacted to obtain the police accident report (PAR), crash investigation documentation including scene and vehicle photographs, relevant interviews, documentation of the scene and vehicle damage, and occupant restraint and injury data. Jurisdictions were only

contacted if personal information such as driver's name was known, as in most cases it was necessary to obtain reports. Additionally, California, Iowa, Kentucky, New Hampshire, Minnesota, and Utah had laws that prohibited the release of crash reports for the study; agencies in these states were not contacted. All reports included ages of the occupants and their seating positions, restraint and airbag use, vehicle identifications, and impact type. In total, 182 valid crash records were obtained and reviewed, and 81 cases were in frontal impacts eligible for study. More detailed information on the data collection for the entire data set can be found in Figure A1 in the supplemental Appendix A online.

Crash descriptions and photos were reviewed to determine survivability. The authors assessed the crashes individually and met to reach a consensus on each. Crashes were considered unsurvivable if the impact caused a complete reduction of the respective occupant space. Crashes were considered survivable if the occupant space was partially or fully maintained, or adjacent or similarly loaded occupants survived with a non-incapacitating injury. Cases for which there were no photos available or that did not meet these criteria were labeled as undeterminable. Maximum injury severity of the rear-seat occupant was also compared with the maximum injury severity of the front-seat occupants in the same crash. Injury severity of the front-seat occupants was based on the police-reported injury severity using the KABCO scale. Therefore, occupants with K-level injuries sustained the same injury severity as case occupants and all other injury classifications were considered less severe.

RESULTS

A detailed case series review was completed for 117 rear-seat occupants: 36 with MAIS 3+ injuries in NASS CDS and 81 fatalities identified in FARS. For cases identified in FARS, crash reports of frontal crashes were obtained from 29 different states. The information included in the fatal crash reports varied by state or jurisdictional data-sharing practices. Police accident reports were available for all 81 cases, pictures documenting the vehicle damage and crash scene were available for 47 cases, and electronic data recorder (EDR) data were available for 12 cases.

Table 1 shows the distribution of occupant, vehicle, and crash information for the sample of crashes in the study. The majority of occupants in all cohorts were female and seated in the second row, outboard positions. Two occupants from NASS CDS, ages 13 and 17 years, and two occupants from FARS, ages 7 and 9 years, were seated in the third row. Most occupants were using the lap/shoulder belt at the time of the crash. Six of eight occupants restrained by a lap belt only had a shoulder belt available that was not worn properly (e.g., worn under the arm or behind the back) but explicit misuse was only documented in two cases: one in which the shoulder belt was behind the back and one in which the lap/shoulder belt was shared with an additional non-case occupant but lap belt only use was coded. More than half of the case occupants were seated in passenger cars. Thirteen of 36 vehicles in NASS CDS cases and the majority of FARS cases were in vehicles model year 2008 or newer.

Crash Severity

Estimates of crash severity for the study sample are shown in Table 2. Delta V was available in 30 NASS CDS cases, including seven cases based on EDR data and 12 FARS cases based on EDR data. For NASS CDS cases, delta V ranged from 19 to 105 km/h with a median delta V of 43 km/h. For FARS cases, longitudinal delta V

ranged from 44 to 129 km/h with a median delta V of 62 km/h. Figures A2 and A3 (see the supplemental Appendix A online) show the case vehicles representing the least severe crashes in the two data sets, an estimated 19 km/h crash in NASS CDS and a 44 km/h crash identified in FARS.

FARS cases were able to be assessed for survivability if sufficient photo evidence of the case vehicle was available, or if the investigative report contained detailed scene descriptions, impact speed, or outcomes for other occupants that clearly conveyed the crash's severity. Of the 81 FARS cases, 12 were rated as unsurvivable, 44 as survivable, and 25 could not be determined due to a lack of evidence.

Cases were also assessed for the injury severity of the case occupant relative to the front-seat occupants in the same crash (Table 2). In NASS CDS cases, the rear-seat case occupant had a maximum AIS score higher than the maximum AIS score of front-seat occupants in 21 of 36 cases. Eleven of the FARS cases were crashes that were fatal for all occupants in the vehicle, but 39 cases had crashes where the case occupant was the only fatality.

Injuries

Figure 1 shows the injured body regions by age group for NASS CDS and FARS cases. Case occupants in NASS CDS sustained an average of 2.5 AIS 3+ injuries in an average of 1.5 body regions. Six of these occupants, all ages 64 years or older, sustained fatal chest injuries in non-catastrophic crashes. Injury information varied widely in FARS cases, with autopsy or hospital-injury records available for 18 cases and other medical information available for another 19 cases. Comprehensive injury information was available for some cases, but others provided more generic information such as "blunt force trauma" or "neck injury". Standardized injury severity coding such as AIS codes was not available for any FARS cases. However, documented superficial injuries such as chest bruising or extremity fractures generally consistent with AIS 1 or 2 injuries were not included in injury counts.

In both data sets, the injured body regions most commonly documented were the chest, head, and abdomen. Serious chest injury was present in 22 NASS CDS occupants including four of 10 occupants ages 6 to 12 years, 11 of 19 occupants ages 13 to 54 years, and seven of seven occupants ages 55 years and older. The type and severity of chest injury differed by age: children ages 6 to 12 years sustained lung contusions; occupants ages 13 to 54 years sustained lung contusions; occupants ages 13 to 54 years sustained lung contusions (six cases), hemo/pneumothorax (eight cases), and rib fractures (four cases); and occupants ages 55 and older sustained an average of three chest injuries each including rib fractures (six cases), hemo/pneumothorax (four cases), lung contusions (four cases), and heart or vessel damage (four cases). Chest injuries were documented in seven and 11 of the child and older occupant FARS cohorts, respectively.

Nine NASS CDS occupants and 18 FARS occupants sustained a serious head injury. Abdomen injuries were present in 10 and eight occupants in NASS CDS and FARS, respectively. In NASS CDS cases, nine occupants sustained hollow organ injuries, one sustained an abdominal vessel injury, and two sustained solid organ injuries. Thoracic/lumbar spine injuries were present in four injured occupants and five fatalities.

Serious cervical spine injuries were not present in NASS CDS cases but were documented in 11 of the fatal cases, including five of 17 children and six of 20 older occupants. Cervical spine injuries were documented as atlantooccipital dislocation/disarticulation in five cases, cervical spine fracture in two cases, "massive neck trauma", "neck instability", "broken neck", or "neck injury" in the remaining four cases. More detailed information on cases with cervical spine injury is included in supplemental Appendix B online.

For occupants with head and chest injuries, Table 3 shows concomitant AIS 3+ injuries to the head, cervical spine, chest, and abdomen. Of the 12 children ages 6 to 12 years in FARS who sustained head injuries, five sustained concomitant injuries to the chest, and three additionally sustained injuries to the cervical spine and abdomen. Five of the seven children who sustained chest injury also sustained a head injury. Of the six occupants in FARS ages 55 years or older with head injuries, three sustained concomitant injuries to the cervical spine and two sustained concomitant injuries to the chest. Two of the 11 older occupants who sustained chest injuries also had concomitant head injuries.

Injury Causation Scenarios in NASS CDS Cases

The most probable injury causation scenarios were determined for each documented AIS 3+ injury in NASS CDS cases. Table 4 documents these scenarios by body region for the head/face, chest, and abdomen, stratified by occupant age. Injury causation scenarios were similar for all or most injuries within a given body region, therefore one dominant scenario is listed per region. Eight of 10 occupants with head injuries sustained them via contact with the vehicle interior, specifically the front seatback for six of the 10. Two head injuries in older occupants were due to inertial loading. Across all age groups, 20 of 22 occupants with chest injuries sustained them due to shoulder belt loading. Abdominal injuries were primarily caused by lap belt loading, with evidence of submarining in eight of 12 occupants.

Factors Contributing to Fatal Injuries in FARS

Cases identified in FARS had limited pictures, occupant contact information, and injury descriptions. Therefore, probable injury causation scenarios were not identified. Each case was reviewed for possible factors contributing to head, chest, and abdomen injuries (Table 5). Multiple factors may contribute to a single injured body region, so counts may not total case counts. Of the 37 fatalities with documented injuries, seven were determined to be unsurvivable due to catastrophic intrusion, 16 were survivable, and 14 were indeterminable. For cases considered survivable, intrusion was ruled out as a contributing factor in 11 cases, a possible factor in two cases, and could not be determined in three cases.

Twelve of the child fatalities had documented head injuries, including six in unsurvivable crashes due to catastrophic intrusion into the occupant's space and one in which non-catastrophic intrusion may have been a contributing factor. Intrusion was a probable factor in two occupants with head injuries in the older cohort, including one with catastrophic/unsurvivable intrusion. Another case likely had excessive head excursion from using the lap belt only. Six case occupants, three in each of the child and older occupant cohorts, had documented cervical spine injuries in addition to the head injury.

Chest injuries were documented in seven and 11 of the child and older occupant cohorts, respectively, and shoulder belt loading was the most common contributing factor (four child and seven older occupant). Two fatalities in the older cohort were documented as obese, which was likely an additional contributing factor. Catastrophic/unsurvivable intrusion was a factor in two child and two older cohort FARS cases involving chest injury.

In the cohort of child fatalities, one case occupant was using a lap belt only and had abdomen and lower spine injuries, suggesting submarining. Three case occupants were using the lap/shoulder belt and had documented bowel injuries and associated solid organ injuries and/or chest injuries, suggesting that belt loading was a factor, but the contribution of lap versus shoulder belt loading to the injury could not be determined. In the cohort of older occupant fatalities, three case occupants sustained abdomen injuries to the spleen or liver and associated chest injuries, suggesting that shoulder belt loading was a factor.

DISCUSSION

This study examined the crash and restraint factors that contributed to injuries and deaths of rear-seated restrained occupants in frontal impacts in newer model year vehicles. The primary factors leading to injury were belt restraint loading and impact with vehicle interiors, and factors in fatally injured cases included belt restraint loading and unsurvivable crash severity. Rear-seat occupants sustained serious and fatal injuries in crashes in which front-seat occupants were less severely injured, suggesting a discrepancy in restraint performance between the front and rear rows. For fatal cases, 80% of the crashes with enough data to make a determination were considered survivable, suggesting that improved occupant protection measures may result in improved injury outcomes.

Crash severity for many of the fatal crashes was limited to a judgment of survivability, with delta V captured from EDRs in only 12 cases. Estimated delta Vs were available for most NASS CDS cases. For cases with estimated or measured delta Vs, the median delta V was 42 km/h for injury cases and 62 km/h for fatalities, which is in-line with or even less severe than many delta Vs in current U.S. frontal crash test programs (Aylor et. al. 2006; Locey et. al. 2010; Sherwood et. al. 2010; Stucki and Fessahaie, 1998), although it is important to consider that calculated delta Vs are likely to underestimate the actual delta V experienced by the vehicle for offset crashes (Nolan et. al. 1998;Sherwood et. al. 2010; Stucki and Fessahaie, 1998). In the fatal cases, about four out of 5 cases with enough information to make a determination were considered to be survivable, but that proportion dropped to three out of four cases for fatally injured children. The prevalence of survivable crashes in this study is in contrast to a previous study of younger fatally injured children in child restraints, in which half of the crashes were so severe that they were considered unsurvivable (Sherwood et al. 2003).

Shoulder belt loading was the probable cause of 20 of the 22 NASS CDS cases with chest injuries and contributed to 11 of the 18 FARS cases with documented chest injuries. Chest injuries were prominent in seriously and fatally injured occupants of all ages, although the type of injury varied by occupant age, with children sustaining lung contusions while older occupants sustained rib fractures, heart, and vessel injuries. These differences in chest injury patterns are consistent with previous literature (Arbogast et al. 2012). The overall incidence of chest injuries is consistent with earlier studies of restrained rear-seat occupants in older vehicles in which the chest was the most commonly injured body region for occupants ages 9 years and older (Beck et al. 2016; Kuppa et al. 2005; Parenteau and Viano, 2003). Studies examining chest injury causation in the rear seat have also found shoulder belt loading as the primary cause of chest injury (Arbogast et al. 2012; Beck et al. 2016; Kuppa et al. 2005). Restraint strategies that reduce loading to the chest, such as belt force limiters, should be considered for the rear-seat environment, but there may be potential tradeoffs with increased head excursion (Brumbelow et al. 2007). In NASS CDS cases, only four

of 22 occupants with chest injuries also sustained head injuries, suggesting that the shoulder belt engagement prevented the head from hitting the vehicle interior. However, in FARS cases, five of 7 children and two of 11 older occupants with chest injuries also sustained head injuries. Four of these children and both older occupants showed some indication of head contact, underscoring the consequences of head excursion. The current regulation governing seat belt assemblies (FMVSS 209) limits the belt system elongation in the rear even when force limiters are present (NHTSA 2001), which may limit head excursion at the expense of increased loading. Novel restraints such as inflatable belts, rear airbags, or alternate belt designs may provide increased protection (Forman et al. 2009; Hu et. al. 2017; Sundararajan et al. 2011), but any new restraint designs must consider the specific characteristics of rear-seat populations and 2nd and 3rd row designs.

Lap belt loading/submarining was the primary cause of abdominal injuries in NASS CDS, but no fatal cases had enough information to clearly indicate submarining. Abdominal injuries were documented in seven injury cases and eight fatal cases and were present in all age groups. Previous research on the rear seat has suggested that abdominal injuries are more common in belt-restrained children and younger adults (Beck et al. 2016; Kuppa et al. 2005). Children who are too small for the seat belt to fit properly are at particular risk of abdominal injury (Durbin et al. 2001; Nance et. al. 2004). However, submarining-related abdominal injuries were present in six NASS CDS occupants ages 13 years or older, suggesting that submarining behavior in the rear seat is not unique to the smallest occupants.

Impact with vehicle interior components was the primary cause of head and facial injuries in NASS CDS for occupants ages 6 to 54 years. Seven NASS cases documented head injury from impact with the front seatback or interior pillars. Occupants ages 55 years and over only suffered head injuries due to inertial loading. In FARS cases, intrusion and associated neck injury were the primary causes of head and facial injuries. Head impacts with vehicle interiors are primarily the result of excessive excursion; restraint designs targeted at improving protection for rear-seat occupants should also include consideration for limiting head excursions. Prior research on optimized belt designs has found that chest loading from the seatbelt can be reduced while also maintaining head excursions (Forman Kent et. al. 2008). Research on other novel belt designs, such as inflatable belts, has shown that impact direction can greatly influence head excursions with these designs (Edwards and Nash 2017).

Cervical spine injuries were documented in 11 fatal cases, five child cases, and six older occupant cases, but no instances of AIS 3+ cervical spine injuries were documented in NASS CDS cases. The most well-documented injuries included five cases with atlantooccipital dislocation. Although cervical spine injuries are complex with multiple possible mechanisms, atlantooccipital dislocation injuries have been documented as occurring from distraction, hyperextension, hyperflexion, rotation, or a combination of these loading conditions (Adams 1992; Hall et. al. 2015; Montaine 1991). Half of the cases with atlantooccipital separation or other severe cervical injury showed no evidence of head contact, including no extracranial contusion, fracture, or other traumatic brain injury that would indicate blunt impact to the face or frontal or parietal aspects of the skull. The remaining five cases all had evidence of head contact ranging from abrasion to skull fracture. Injury causation scenarios were not determined for fatal cases due to insufficient case information. However, 10 of 11 of these occupants were

lap/shoulder belt restrained and eight had associated chest injuries, suggesting that the shoulder belt heavily loaded the chest, which may indicate a distraction/flexion mechanism due to inertial loading from the head.

Some limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. NASS CDS cases provide detailed injury and crash investigation details, but only 36 cases in 11 years of data met the inclusion criteria for the study. Cases were reviewed individually and not weighted to represent the tow-away crash population, so injury descriptions and distributions are limited to the specific sample should not be extrapolated. For fatalities identified in FARS, case reviews were limited by inconsistent documentation of crash damage and injuries. In addition, due to limited resources, fatal case review was limited to two vulnerable populations, children and older occupants, and their fatal crash experience should not be extended to rear-seat occupants of all ages.

Despite these limitations, the current study provides insight into the factors that contribute to serious and fatal injuries in restrained rear-seated occupants in frontal crashes and points to possible countermeasures. The prevalence of serious and fatal injuries from belt restraint loading, particularly in crashes in which front-seat occupants sustained less severe injuries, suggests a discrepancy in restraint performance between the front and rear rows and a need to reduce loading to the chest in rear-seating positions. However, the presence of head injuries from contact with the vehicle interior points to the need to consider potential tradeoffs of reduced chest loads with increased head excursion, particularly in the absence of rear-seat airbags. Any new restraint designs should consider the unique needs of the rear-seat environment.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. The authors would like to thank the Preusser Research Group for their work in case identification and data collection.

REFERENCES

- Adams VI1. Neck injuries: I. Occipitoatlantal dislocation--a pathologic study of twelve traffic fatalities. J Forensic Sci. 1992 Mar;37(2):556–64.
- Arbogast KS, Locey CM, Zonfrillo M. Differences in thoracic injury causation patterns between seat belt restrained children and adults. *Ann Adv Automot Med.* 2012 Oct;56:213–221.
- Aylor DA, Nolan, JM, Zuby DS, Baker BC. How the 64.4 km/h (40 mi/h) frontal offset deformable barrier crash test relates to real-world crash severity. *Expert Symposium of Accident Research (ESAR)*;2006. Hannover, Germany.
- Beck, B., Bilston LE, Brown J. Injury patterns of rear seat occupants in frontal impact: an in-depth crash investigation study. *Inj Prev.* 2016;22(3):165–170.
- Bilston LE, Du W, Brown J. A matched-cohort analysis of belted front and rear seat occupants in newer and older model vehicles shows that gains in front occupant safety have outpaced gains for rear seat occupants. Accid Anal Prev. 2010;42(6):1974–1977.
- Braver ER, Whitfield R, Ferguson SA. Seating positions and children's risk of dying in motor vehicle crashes. *Inj Prev.* 1998;4(3):181–187.
- Brumbelow ML, Baker BC, Nolan JM. Effects of seat belt load limiters on driver fatalities in frontal crashes of passenger cars. *Proceedings of the 20th International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles:* 2007. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
- Durbin DR, Arbogast KB, Moll EK. Seat belt syndrome in children: a case report and review of the literature. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2001;17(6):474–7.
- Durbin DR, Chen I, Smith R, Elliott MR, Winston FK. Effects of seating position and appropriate restraint use on the risk of injury to children in motor vehicle crashes. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(3):e305–e309.
- Durbin, D.R., Jermakian, J.S., Kallan, M.J., McCartt, A.T., Arbogast, K.B., Zonfrillo, M.R., & Myers, R.K. (2015). Rear seat safety: Variation in protection by occupant, crash and vehicle characteristics. *Accid Anal Prev*. 80;185–192.
- Edwards M, Nash C. Inflatable shoulder belts and inboard upper anchor shoulder-belt geometry in far-side oblique impacts. *Proceedings of the International Research Council on Biomechanics of Injury (IRCOBI)*,: 2017. Antwerp, Belgium.
- Farmer CM, Lund AK. The effects of vehicle redesign on the risk of driver death. *Traffic Inj Prev.* 2015;16(7):684–690.
- Forman J, Lopez-Valdes F, Lessley D, et al. Rear seat occupant safety: an investigation of a progressive forcelimiting, pretensioning 3-point belt system using adult PMHS in frontal sled tests. *Stapp Car Crash J*. 2009;53:49–74.
- Forman J, Michaelson J, Kent R, Kuppa S, Bostrom O. Occupant restraint in the rear seat: ATD responses to standard and pre-tensioning, force-limiting belt restraints. *Ann Adv Automot Med.* 2008;52:141–154.
- Hall GC, Kinsman MJ, Nazar RG, Hruska RT, Mansfield KJ, Boakye M, Rahme R. Atlanto-occipital dislocation. *World J Orthop.* 2015 Mar 18;6(2): 236–243.
- Hu J, Reed MP, Rupp JD, Fischer K, Lange P, Adler A. Optimizing seat belt and airbag designs for rear seat occupant protection in frontal crashes. *Stapp Car Crash J*. 2017 Nov;61:67–100.
- Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. General Statistics Fatality Facts. 2016; Available at: http://www.iihs.org/iihs/topics/t/general-statistics/fatalityfacts/overview-of-fatality-facts (Accessed March 14, 2019).

- Kuppa S, Saunders J, Fessahaie O. Rear seat occupant protection in frontal crashes. Proceedings of the 19th International Technical Conference on the Enhanced Safety of Vehicles (ESV); 2005. Washington, DC: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; 2005.
- Locey CM, Garcia-Espana JF, Toh A, Belwadi A, Arbogast KB, Maltese MR. Homogenization of vehicle fleet frontal crash pulses from 2000–2010. *Ann Adv Automot Med*. 2012;56:299–311.
- Montane II, Eismont FJ, Green BA. Traumatic occipitoatlantal dislocation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1991. Feb;16(2):112–6.
- Nance ML, Lutz N, Arbogast KB, Cornejo RA, Kallan MJ, Winston FK, Durbin DR. Optimal restraint reduces the risk of abdominal injury in children involved in motor vehicle crashes. *Ann Surg*. 2004,;239(1):127–31.
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Letter of interpretation about load limiters and the belt elongation requirements of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 209, Seat Belt Assemblies [Legal interpretation]. 2001, September 19. Available at: https://www.nhtsa.gov/interpretations/24256ogm (Accessed March 14, 2019).
- Nolan JM, Preuss CA, Jones SL, O'Neill B. An update on relationships between computed delta-Vs and impact speeds for offset crashes. *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Enhanced Safety of Vehicles*; 1998, June. Windsor, Canada. Paper No. 98-S6-O-07.
- Parenteau C, Viano DC. Field data analysis or rear occupant injuries Part I: Adults and teenagers. Paper presented at SAE World Congress; 2003; Detroit, MI.
- Sahraei E, Digges K, Marzougui D. Reduced protection for belted occupants in rear seats relative to front seats of new model year vehicles. *Annual Proceedings of the Association for the Advancement of Automotive Medicine*; 2010;54:149–158.
- Sherwood CP, Ferguson SA, Crandall JR. Factors leading to crash fatalities to children in child restraints. *Assoc Adv Automot Med.* 2003,47:343–359.
- Sherwood CP, Zuby DS, Nolan JM, Aylor DA. The accuracy of velocity change estimates in small overlap frontal crashes. *Expert Symposium of Accident Research (ESAR)*; 2010. Hannover, Germany.
- Stucki, S. and Fessahaie, O. Comparison of measured velocity change in frontal crash tests to NASS computed velocity change. 1998. SAE Technical Paper 980649.
- Sundararajan S, Rouhana SW, Board D, et al. Biomechanical assessment of a rear-seat inflatable seatbelt in frontal impacts. *Stapp Car Crash J.* 2011;55:161.

Winston, F, Xie, D, Durbin, D, Elliot, MR. Are child passengers bringing up the rear? Evidence for differential improvements in injury risk between drivers and their child passengers. *Ann Adv Automot Med.* 51;113-27. 2007, November.

TABLES AND FIGURES

	Serious Injuries	Fatalities	Fatalities
	and Fatalities		
	NASS-CDS	FARS	FARS
	All ages	6 to 12 years	55+ years
	n=36	n=33	n=48
Sex			
Male	14	11	9
Female	22	22	39
Age (years)			
6 to 8	3	17	_
9 to 12	7	16	_
13 to 18	11	_	_
19 to 54	8 – –		_
55 to 69	1 (1 fatal) – 16		16
70+	6 (5 fatal)	_	32
Seat position			
Left	9	11	18
Center	4	3	1
Right	23	19	28
Restraint			
Lap belt only	3	4	1
Lap/shoulder belt	32	22	47
Lap/shoulder belt	1	7	_
with booster			
Vehicle Type			
Passenger Car	19	21	25
SUV	13	8	19
Minivan	2	3	2
Pickup	2	1	2
Vehicle Model Year			
2000-2003	14	_	_
2004–2007	9	14	13
2008-2011	7	9	16
2012 and newer	6	10	19
Crash Partner			
Passenger Car	8	8	13
SUV	8	3	7
Minivan	4	_	_
Pickup	2	9	11
Heavy truck/vehicle	1	8	7
Pole/tree	10	2	7
Other	3	3	3

Table 1. Distribution of occupant, vehicle, and crash information for the study sample.

Table 2. Crash severity based on delta V (estimated or EDR-measured delta V, when available)*, survivability assessment for FARS cases, and injury severity of the rear-seat occupant relative to the injury severity of the front-seat occupants.

	Serious Injuries and			
	Fatalities	Fata	lities	
	NASS-CDS FARS		ARS	
_	All ages	6 to 12 years	55+ years	
Crash Severity				
Less than 30 km/h	2	_	_	
30 to 45 km/h	15	_	2	
46 to 60 km/h	9	_	4	
61+ km/h	4	3	3	
Unknown	6	30	39	
Survivable				
Yes	_	17	27	
No	_	7	5	
Indeterminable	_	9	16	
Injury severity relative to front-seat occupant**				
More severe	21	18	31	
Equally severe	13	15	17	
Less severe	2	_	_	

*7 NASS CDS cases and 12 FARS cases had documented delta Vs based on EDR data

**For FARS cases, injury severity of front-seat occupant is based on police report documentation of fatal injury (equally severe) or incapacitating or less severe injury (less severe).



Figure 1. Injured body regions of case occupants stratified by age and data source. FARS counts limited to cases with documented injuries (n=17 for 6–12 years and 20 for 55+ years).

	Serious Injuries and Fatalities NASS-CDS		Fatalities* FARS		
	6 to 12	13 to 54	55+	6 to 12	55+
	years	years	years	years	years
Occupants with head injuries	n=3	n=5	n=2	n=12	n=6
Head and					
Cervical spine	_	_	_	3	3
Chest	_	2	2	5	2
Abdomen	1	1	_	3	1
Occupants with chest injuries	n=4	n=11	n=7	n=7	n=11
Chest and					
Head	_	2	2	5	2
Cervical spine	_	_	_	4	5
Abdomen	1	3	2	4	3

Table 3. Concomitant AIS 3+ injuries to the head, cervical spine, chest and abdomen in occupants with head and chest injuries.

*FARS counts limited to cases with documented injuries (n=17 for 6–12 years and 20 for 55+ years) and may not include a complete list of an occupant's injuries.

Table 4. Injury causation scenarios for head/face, chest, and abdomen injured body regions for NASS CDS cases.

	n	Injury causation scenario (number of cases)
6 to 12 years		
Head/face	3	Lap belted only, head impact with front seatback (2) Head impact with own seatback due to intruding cargo (1)
Chest	4	Shoulder belt loading (3) Impact with right side interior (1)
Abdomen	4	Lap belted only, submarining (2) Lap/shoulder belt, seat belt loading (1) Shoulder belt loading (1)
13 to 54 years		
Head/face	5	Impact with front seatback (4) Impact with C-pillar (1)
Chest	11	Shoulder belt loading (10) Impact with side interior (1)
Abdomen	6	Lap belted only or poor lap belt fit, submarining (2) Lap/shoulder belt, submarining (4)
55+ years		
Head/face	2	Inertial loading of the head (2)
Chest	7	Shoulder belt loading (7)
Abdomen	2	Lap/shoulder belt, lap belt loading (2)

	n	Possible factors contributing to injury (number of cases)
6 to 12 years		
Head/face	12	Catastrophic intrusion/unsurvivable crash (6, 4 due to severe underride, 2 due to small overlap) Non-catastrophic intrusion (1) Associated neck injury (3) Not enough information (2)
Chest	7	Shoulder belt loading (4) Catastrophic intrusion/unsurvivable crash (2) Not enough information (1)
Abdomen	5	Lap belt only, submarining (1) Lap/shoulder belt loading to hollow organs (3) Not enough information (1)
55+ years		
Head/face	6	Lap belt only (1) Catastrophic intrusion/unsurvivable crash (1) Intrusion requiring occupant extrication (1) Associated neck injury (3) Not enough information (1)
Chest	11	Shoulder belt loading (7) Obesity (2) Lap belt only (1) Not enough information (3)
Abdomen	3	Shoulder belt loading to liver/spleen (3) Non-catastrophic intrusion (1)

Table 5. Possible factors contributing to head, chest, and abdomen injury in FARS fatality cases. Multiple factors may contribute to a single injured body region.

APPENDIX A: Supplemental Figures

Figure A1 outlines the data collection process for cases identified in FARS. For this study, a *crash* is defined as an impact between the occupant's vehicle and a crash partner, and a *case* represents a single decedent of interest. In total, 376 cases were identified using a query in FARS. Of these, police jurisdictions were contacted for 243. Crash information was received from jurisdictions for 189 cases. It was determined that seven received cases did not meet the inclusion criteria, resulting in 182 final cases. Some crashes resulted in more than one decedent who met the criteria. A frontal impact was the most severe event in 81 cases, forming the data set for the current study.



*Census of all such crashes for 2014–15 MY=model year

Figure A1. Collection process for police accident reports (PAR) for fatalities identified in FARS.



Figure A2. A head-on collision between this 2002 Suzuki Vitara and a passenger car resulted in an estimated 19 km/h delta V (from crash reconstruction) for the Vitara. A 64-year-old female in the left rear seat was fatally injured with AIS 3+ chest and head injuries.



Figure A3. A head-on collision between this 2015 Chevrolet Impala and a passenger car resulted in a 44 km/h longitudinal delta V (from EDR) for the Impala. Both rear-seat occupants, an 84-year-old obese male in the left rear and a 79-year-old obese female in the right rear, were fatally injured with chest and spine injuries.

APPENDIX B – Brief Summaries of Cases with Neck Injury

CHOP #81



Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: Heavy truck/vehicle Occupant age: 77 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 23 Injuries: Subarachnoid and intraventricular hemorrhage due to acute head trauma (COD), multiple left facial fractures, left-eye trauma, cervical factures, left-pelvic fractures, diaphragmatic injury, retroperitoneal hemorrhage. Other occupants: One of other three occupants killed—not stated which in report.

CHOP #82



Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: Wood utility pole Occupant age: 9 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 21 Injuries: Decedent suffered nonspecific neck injury. Other occupants: All other occupants survived with incapacitating injuries. Fatally injured occupant was the only one properly restrained.

CHOP #96



Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: Passenger car Occupant age: 8 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 21 Injuries: Massive neck and chest trauma. Other occupants: Other rear passenger was fatally injured. Driver and front-seat passenger sustained B-type injuries.

CHOP #105



CHOP #109





Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: SUV Occupant age: 78 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder worn incorrectly Seat position: 23 Injuries: Severed brainstem (two locations); severed spine at T12; hinged skull fracture; 10 broken ribs Other occupants: Driver and front seat passenger survived.

Case vehicle: SUV Principal crash partner: Pickup Occupant age: 77 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 23 Injuries: Multiple blunt force injures with multiple contusions and abrasions of neck and torso. C1/C2 fracture with complete atlantooccipital dislocation. Other occupants: Driver front-seat passenger and middle and left

Other occupants: Driver, front-seat passenger, and middle and left rear-seat passengers survived with minor injuries.

CHOP #110



Case vehicle: SUV Principal crash partner: SUV Occupant age: 60 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 23 Injuries: Atlanto-occipital dislocation, subarachnoid hemorrhage, sternum and rib fractures, bilateral hemothoraces, lacerations of liver and mesentery, abrasions and contusions of hand and legs. Other occupants: Driver survived with visible head injuries, front passenger suffered incapacitating injures.

CHOP # 123



Case vehicle: SUV Principal crash partner: Passenger car Occupant age: 7 Occupant sex: M Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 22 Injuries: Atlanto-occipital dislocation, subarachnoid hemorrhage, bilateral pulmonary contusions, shock bowel, T5 fracture and T4 and T5 flexion injury. Other occupants: Driver, front-seat passenger, and left rear passenger survived with minor injuries. Right rear passenger

survived with major injuries.

CHOP #157



Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: Passenger car Occupant age: 79 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 21 Injuries: Occipital scalp contusion and right parietal subgaleal hemorrhage, epidural hemorrhage of cervical and proximal thoracic spinal cord, left clavicle and bilateral rib fractures Other occupants: Right rear passenger (84 year old) was fatally injured, suffering blunt thoracic trauma. Driver and front-seat passenger survived with nonspecific injuries.

CHOP #159



Case vehicle: SUV Principal crash partner: Pickup Occupant age: 68 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 21 Injuries: Broken neck and crushed chest Other occupants: Catastrophic crash. All occupants in vehicle killed. Driver suffered broken neck and crushed chest, other occupants suffered blunt force trauma to the chest.

CHOP #169



Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: Pickup Occupant age: 7 Occupant sex: F Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 23 Injuries: Atlanto-occipital disarticulation, intracranial subdural and subarachnoid hemorrhage, left-occipital lobe contusion, subscalpular hemorrhage, bilateral pulmonary contusions, bowel injuries. Other occupants: Left rear occupant also suffered fatal injuries (see below).

CHOP #170



Case vehicle: Passenger car Principal crash partner: Pickup Occupant age: 8 Occupant sex: M Restraint: Lap/shoulder Seat position: 21

Injuries: Partial brainstem transection, disarticulation of the atlanto-axial joint, intracranial subdural and subarachnoid hemorrhage subscalpular hemorrhage, contusions of epicardium and pulmonary artery and aorta adventitia, bilateral pulmonary contusions and right lung laceration, right clavicular fracture, bilateral perinephric hemorrhage, bilateral hemothoraces and hemoperitoneum, spleen and bowel injuries.

Other occupants: Right rear occupant also suffered fatal injuries (see above).